Original Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-3933.ijam20183907

Prevalence and risk factors of diabetic foot ulcer at a tertiary care hospital among diabetic patients

Bhaktavatsalam M., Manohar Shankarrao Chavan*

Department of General Medicine, Mahavir Institute of Medical Sciences, Vikarabad, Telangana, India

Received: 12 July 2018 Accepted: 16 August 2018

*Correspondence:

Dr. Manohar Shankarrao Chavan, E-mail: drmschavan007@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Foot ulceration is preventable, and relatively simple interventions can reduce amputations by up to 80%. The objective of the present research was to study the prevalence and risk factors of diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients

Methods: Present study was hospital based cross sectional study carried out at outpatient department of General Medicine for a period of January 2018 to June 2018 among 200 diabetic patients. All eligible patients willing to participate were included in the present study. Diabetic foot ulcer was diagnosed as per the standard criteria and based on the physician acumen. An attempt was made to identify the risk factors like smoking etc. The diagnosed patient was given appropriate treatment.

Results: Males were more than females. majority of the patients were found in the age group of 51-60 years (35.5%). Majority of the diabetic patients were from rural area i.e. 84.5%. Majority were illiterate i.e. 69%. 21.5% were found to be smokers. 40% were using alcohol regularly. 36.5% were overweight and 14% were obese. Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients was found to be 16%. Age, residence, literacy, duration of diabetes and obesity were not found to be significantly associated with DFU. Diabetic foot ulcer was found to be significantly associated with being male, tobacco chewers, tobacco chewers + smokers, alcoholics, smokers + alcoholics, Family history of diabetes, and insulin users.

Conclusions: Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients was very high. Tobacco use, alcohol use, mixed of tobacco and alcohol use, and family history of diabetes were significant risk factors for diabetic foot ulcer.

Keywords: Alcohol, Diabetic foot ulcer, Smokers

INTRODUCTION

There are an estimated 42 million cases of diabetes mellitus in India. Thus, Indian ranks number in the top ten countries with high diabetes burden.^{1,2}

Diabetes is a metabolic disorder which affects not only carbohydrate but also protein and fat metabolism. Diabetes is also associated with acute as well chronic complications. Almost most of the organs, tissues and systems are affected due to long standing diabetes

mellitus. Thus, adult and elderly with long standing diabetes patients often present to the clinics with multiple complications. Among all these well-known complications, diabetic foot ulcer is the most common. It affects as many as 15% of patients with diabetes mellitus during their lifetime.²

Diabetic people are prone to develop diabetic foot ulcer. But risk factors for this are many. Walking barefoot i.e. without shoes or appropriate foot protection is one such risk factor which is related to social and cultural practices. Lack of proper health infrastructure delays the time the patient to be seen and increases the risk of foot amputation. If the patient is poor, then he may not be able to afford the cost of repeated physician visits. In addition to these factors, smoking, tobacco use in any form and alcohol use increase the risk of diabetic foot ulcer.³

If diabetic foot ulcer is neglected there is no choice but to ampute the foot. This results into distorted body image, may lead to loss of employment, may also lead to dependency on others, impacts the finances by increasing the cost of health care and also affects the psychology of the patient.⁴

Diabetic foot ulcer puts enormous social impact on the patient. The patient may get isolated socially, he may lose his prior social role, stigma and discrimination are common.⁵

Like other complications of diabetes mellitus, diabetic foot ulcer is also easily preventable. Proper care by diabetic patient has shown to reduce the incidence of diabetic foot ulcer as well as consequent foot amputation by about 80% which is a great advantage for the patient as well as society. Simple measures like control of blood sugar, appropriate diet, staying away from addictions like smoking, tobacco in any form, proper foot care, regular checkup, good hemoglobin levels, controlled blood pressure levels, controlled lipid levels etc.^{6,7}

To prevent the complications like diabetic foot, it is very essential that the patient should go for regular checkup and proper and adequate treatment.⁸

Hence present was undertaken to identify the risk factors and prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer in our present settings, so that patients can be made aware and can be managed adequately.

METHODS

The study was a hospital based cross sectional study. Present study was carried out at outpatient department of General Medicine. The study was carried out for a period of January 2018 to June 2018. Only patients willing to participate were included in the present study after their verbal informed consent after explaining them the nature of the study. Patient confidentiality will be maintained. The patients diagnosed with diabetic foot ulcer were given appropriate treatment, follow up and health education. The study patients were not subjected for any kind of invasive procedure for the present study purpose.

Sample size

Based on the findings of the previous study it was found that the prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients was 15%. 9.10

Using this prevalence with 95% confidence interval with 5% precision and alpha error of 5% the sample size came out to be 196. It was rounded to 200.

Inclusion criteria

- Patients attending the outpatient department of General Medicine
- Patients willing to participate in the present study
- Patients aged 19 years and above.

Exclusion criteria

- Patients found to be suffering from severe systemic illness.
- Debilitated patients who cannot participate.

All eligible patients willing to participate in the present study were included in the present study. The data from patients was taken in the pre-designed, pre-tested, semi structured study questionnaire for the present study.

Diabetic foot ulcer was diagnosed as per the standard criteria and based on the physician acumen. An attempt was made to identify the risk factors like smoking etc.

The diagnosed patient was given appropriate treatment. If required, the patient was advised investigations. The patients were given health education to prevent further progression of the disease.

Statistical analysis

The data was entered in the Microsoft Excel work sheet. Descriptive data was expressed in proportions. Statistical tests like chi square test and odds ratio were applied to study association between risk factors and the disease.

RESULTS

Males were more than females. majority of the patients were found in the age group of 51-60 years (35.5%) followed by 41-50 years (23.5%). Similar trend was found for males and females.

Table 1: Age and sex wise distribution of study subjects.

Age	Male		Female		Total	
(years)	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
19-30	11	84.6	02	15.4	13	6.5
31-40	19	65.5	10	34.5	29	14.5
41-50	21	44.7	26	55.3	47	23.5
51-60	34	47.9	37	52.1	71	35.5
61-70	19	54.3	16	45.7	35	17.5
> 70	107	53.5	93	46.5	200	100

Table 2: Distribution of study subjects as per their socio-demographic variables.

Socio-demog	graphic variables	Number	%
Residence	Urban	31	15.5
Residence	Rural	169	84.5
	Illiterate	138	69
Education	Up to Intermediate	39	19.5
	Higher	23	11.5

Majority of the diabetic patients were from rural area i.e. 84.5%. this is because the present medical college where the study was carried out is in the rural area. Majority were illiterate i.e. 69%.

Table 3: Distribution of study subjects as per their habits.

Habits		Number	%
Compleina	Yes	43	21.5
Smoking	No	157	78.5
Alcohol	Yes	80	40
Alconor	No	120	60
Tahaaaa ahayyina	Yes	25	12.5
Tobacco chewing	No	175	87.5
Smoking + chewing	Yes	15	7.5
tobacco	No	185	92.5
Cmalsing + alachal	Yes	41	20.5
Smoking + alcohol	No	159	79.5

Around 21.5% were found to be smokers. 40% were using alcohol regularly. 12.5% were tobacco chewers. 7.5% were smoking tobacco as well as chewing tobacco. 20.5% were found to be smokers as well as using alcohol.

Table 4: Distribution of study subjects as per the BMI.

Variable	;	Number	%
	Underweight (< 18.5)	10	5
BMI	Normal (18.5-24.99)	89	44.5
(kg/m^2)	Overweight (25-29.99)	73	36.5
	Obese (≥30)	28	14

Around 44.5% of the study subjects were having normal body mass index. Only 5% were underweight. 36.5% were overweight and 14% were obese.

Table 5: Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer.

Diabetic foot ulcer	Number	Percentage
Yes	32	16
No	168	84
Total	200	100

Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients was found to be 16% while 84% of the diabetes patients were not having diabetic foot ulcer.

Table 6 shows association between various factors and diabetic foot ulcer (DFU). Age, residence, literacy, duration of diabetes and obesity were not found to be significantly associated with DFU. Prevalence of DFU was 24.3% among males compared to only 6.5% among females and this difference was statistically significant. Males were four times more prone to develop DFU. Prevalence of DFU was 39.5% among smokers compared to only 9.6% among non-smokers and this difference was statistically significant. Smokers were 6.19 times more prone to develop DFU.

Prevalence of DFU was 44% among tobacco chewers compared to only 12% among no tobacco chewers and this difference was statistically significant. tobacco chewers were 5.7 times more prone to develop DFU.

Prevalence of DFU was 60% among tobacco chewers + smokers compared to only 12.4% among no tobacco chewers and non-smokers and this difference was statistically significant. tobacco chewers + smokers were 10.5 times more prone to develop DFU.

Prevalence of DFU was 30% among alcoholics compared to only 6.7% among non-alcoholics and this difference was statistically significant. Alcoholics were six times more prone to develop DFU.

Prevalence of DFU was 43.9% among smokers + alcoholics compared to only 8.8% among no smokers + alcoholics and this difference was statistically significant. smokers + alcoholics were 8.1 times more prone to develop DFU.

Prevalence of DFU was 23.5% among Family history of diabetes compared to only 10.4% among no Family history of diabetes and this difference was statistically significant. Family history of diabetes were 8.1 times more prone to develop DFU.

Prevalence of DFU was 37.5% among insulin users compared to only 11.9% among no insulin users and this difference was statistically significant. insulin users were 4.4 times more prone to develop DFU.

As per duration of diabetes the subjects were equally divided i.e. 49.5% were with more than five years of diabetes duration compared to 51.5% with duration of diabetes of less than five years. Majority patients with foot ulcer had duration of less than one year compared to duration of more than one year.

Majority of the patients were using oral hypoglycemic drugs. Only 13.5% were using insulin. Majority had no history of recurrent ulcers and only 5.5% had past healed ulcers. 42.5% of the patients had family history of diabetes.

Table 6: Association of various factors with diabetic foot ulcer.

Factors		Diabetic foot	ulcer	Chi	Davolaro	Odda Dadia (050/ CI)	
Factors		Yes	No	square	P value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	
Age (years)	19-30	5 (38.5%)	8 (61.5%)		0.206987	-	
	31-40	5 (17.2%)	24 (82.8%)				
	41-50	6 (12.8%)	41 (87.2%)	5.897			
	51-60	10 (14.3%)	60 (85.7%)				
	> 60	5 (12.5%)	35 (87.5%)	-			
Sex	Male	26 (24.3%)	81 (75.7%)	10.5	0.0002070	4.654 (1.922.11.90)	
Sex	Female	6 (6.5%)	87 (93.5%)	10.5	0.0003070	4.654 (1.822-11.89)	
Residence	Urban	6 (19.4%)	25 (80.6%)	0.08283	0.3868	1 22 (1 4024 2 521)	
Residence	Rural	26 (15.4%)	143 (84.6%)	0.06263	0.3808	1.32 (1.4934-3.531)	
Education	Illiterate	21 (15.2%)	117 (84.8%)	0.05851	0.4044	0.8222 (0.2720 1.852)	
Education	Literate	11 (17.7%)	51 (82.3%)	0.03831	0.4044	0.8322 (0.3739- 1.852)	
Smoking	Yes	17 (39.5%)	26 (60.5%)	20.4	0.000003143	6.19 (2.752- 13.92)	
Smoking	No	15 (9.6%)	142 (90.4%)	20.4	0.000003143		
Tobacco	Yes	11 (44%)	14 (56%)	14.37	0.00007506	5.762 (2.315- 14.34)	
chewing	No	21 (12%)	154 (88%)	14.57	0.00007306	3.702 (2.313- 14.34)	
Smoking +	Yes	9 (60%)	6 (40%)		0.000003967		
chewing tobacco	No	23 (12.4%)	162 (87.6%)	19.95		10.57 (3.442- 32.43)	
A 1 1 1	Yes	24 (30%)	56 (70%)	17.75	0.00001262	6 (2 524 14 21)	
Alcohol use	No	8 (6.7%)	112 (93.3%)	17.75	0.00001262	6 (2.534-14.21)	
Smoking +	Yes	18 (43.9%)	23 (56.1%)	27.32	<0.0000001	8.106 (3.551- 18.5)	
alcohol	No	14 (8.8%)	145 (91.2%)	21.32			
Family history	Yes	20 (23.5%)	65 (76.5%)	5.299	0.01067	2.641 (1.211-5.762)	
of diabetes	No	12 (10.4%)	103 (89.6%)	3.299	0.01067	2.041 (1.211-3.702)	
Overweight	Yes	19 (18.8%)	82 (81.2%)	0.8149		1.533 (0.7115- 3.302)	
and obese	No	13 (13.1%)	86 (86.9%)	0.0149			
Duration of	> 5 years	14 (14.1%)	85 (85.9%)	0.2672	0.3026	0.7595 (0.3548- 1.626)	
diabetes	< 5 years	18 (17.8%)	83 (82.2%)	0.2072	0.3020	0.7373 (0.3346- 1.020)	
Use of insulin	Yes	12 (37.5%)	20 (62.5%)	11.27	0.0003945	4.44 (1.889- 10.43)	
Ose of insulin	No	20 (11.9%)	148 (88.1%)	11.4/		4.44 (1.007- 10.43)	

Table 7: Distribution of diabetic patients as per various attributes of diabetes.

Various attributes		Number	%
Duration of diabetes	> 5 years	99	49.5
Duration of diabetes	< 5 years	101	51.5
Duration of foot ulcer	> 1 year	05	2.5
Duration of foot urcer	< 1 year	27	13.5
Use of oral	Yes	179	89.5
hypoglycemic drugs	No	21	10.5
Use of insulin	Yes	27	13.5
Use of illsuilli	No	173	86.5
Recurrent ulcers	Yes	15	7.5
Recurrent uncers	No	185	92.5
Doct hooled vleam	Yes	11	5.5
Past healed ulcers	No	189	94.5
Family history of	Yes	85	42.5
diabetes	No	115	57.5

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer was 24.3% in males and only 6.5% in females in this hospital based cross sectional study. It was found to be associated with smoking, tobacco chewing, alcohol use, family history of diabetes and insulin use.

Dalem Pemayun TG et al, found that 16.2% were having diabetic foot which is similar to the present study. ¹⁰ The mean age in their study was 54.3±8.6 years. The patients were not aware about the causes of ulcer. Most of the patients had ulcers before admission. We also found that 32 patients had ulcers prior to admission. 36.3% of the patients underwent amputations of leg.

Liaofang Wu et al, studied 296 patients.¹¹ They found that 42% of the patients had foot deformity which is more than the finding of the present study.

They noted that most common abnormality in foot was hallux valgus. 12.5% patients gave history of ulceration. 35.1% of the patients were low risk and 49% of the patients were at high risk.

Al-Maskari F et al, in their study had 49% with diabetes and 35% with hypertension. ¹² 86% had type 2 diabetes. They noted that being male, low level of literacy, prolonged duration of diabetes mellitus, having type 2 diabetes mellitus, and microalbuminuria were the most common risk factors for DFU. We also found that prolonged duration of diabetes mellitus was a significant risk factor for DFU.

Nyamu PN et al, studied 1780 diabetic patients and found that the prevalence of DFU was 4.6% which is very compared to the prevalence found in the present study which is 16%.¹³ In their study 47.5% of the ulcers were neuropathic, 30.5% were neuroischemic and 18% were ischemic. We did not study the ulcer types in the present study. Lack of proper blood sugar control, hypertension, lack of proper self care and infections were significant risk factors in their study. While we found that tobacco use, alcohol use were significant risk factors for DFU.

Al-Rubeaan K et al, found that the prevalence of diabetic foot complications was 3.3 (3.2-3.4%). ¹⁴ The prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer was 2.1%, that of gangrene of foot was 0.19% and that of amputations of foot was 1.1%. But we found a very high prevalence of 16% in the present study. The authors found that the prevalence of complications of foot was associated with duration of diabetes and increasing age. But we found that the diabetic foot ulcer was not associated with duration of diabetes and increasing age. But authors noted that insulin use was associated with the foot complications which is similar to the present study findings. Other similar findings were association with smoking. ¹⁴

Zhang P et al, carried out a systematic review and meta analysis and found that the prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer prevalence globally was 6.3%.¹⁵ We found a very high prevalence of 16% in our settings. The authors reported a higher prevalence in males which is similar to the present study. They also noted that increasing age, more duration of diabetes and smoking were associated with the diabetic foot ulcer.¹⁵

Pemayun TG et al, found that 16.2% were having diabetic foot which is similar to the present study. ¹⁰ The mean age in their study was 54.3±8.6 years. The patients were not aware about the causes of ulcer. Most of the patients had ulcers before admission. Authors also found that 32 patients had ulcers prior to admission. 36.3% of the patients underwent amputations of leg.

Wu L et al, studied 296 patients. 11 They found that 42% of the patients had foot deformity which is more than the finding of the present study. They noted that most common abnormality in foot was hallux valgus. 12.5%

patients gave history of ulceration. 35.1% of the patients were low risk and 49% of the patients were at high risk.

CONCLUSION

Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients was very high. Tobacco use, alcohol use, mixed of tobacco and alcohol use, and family history of diabetes were significant risk factors for diabetic foot ulcer. All diabetic patients should stop using alcohol and tobacco in any form to prevent the occurrence of diabetic foot ulcer.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- 1. Ramachandran A, Ma RCW, Snehalatha C. Diabetes in Asia. Lancet. 2010 Jan 30;375(9712):408-18.
- Shankhdhar K, Shankhdhar LK, Shankhdhar U, Shankhdhar S. Diabetic foot problems in India: an overview and potential simple approaches in a developing country. Curr Diab Rep. 2008 Dec 1;8(6):452-7.
- 3. Viswanathan V, Shobhana R, Snehalatha C, Seena R, Ramachandran A. Need for education on footcare in diabetic patients in India. J Assoc Physicians India. 1999 Nov;47(11):1083-5.
- 4. Shobhana R, Rama Rao P, Lavanya A, Viswanathan V, Ramachandra A. Cost burden to diabetic patients with foot complications-a study from southern India. JAPI. 2000;48(12):1147-50.
- 5. Harrington C, Zagari MJ, Corea J, Klitenic J. A cost analysis of diabetic lower-extremity ulcers. Diab Care. 2000 Sep 1;23(9):1333-8.
- Croxson S. Diabetes in the elderly: problems of care and service provision. Diab Med. 2002 Jul;19:66-72
- 7. Stumvoll M, Goldstein BJ, van Haeften TW. Type 2 diabetes: principles of pathogenesis and therapy. Lancet. 2005 Apr 9;365(9467):1333-46.
- 8. Edelson GW, Armstrong DG, Lavery LA, Caicco G. The acutely infected diabetic foot is not adequately evaluated in an inpatient setting. Journal of the Am Podiatr Med Assoc. 1997 Jun;87(6):260-5.
- 9. Charan J, Biswas T. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research?. Indian J Psychol Med. 2013 Apr;35(2):121.
- Pemayun TG, Naibaho RM. Clinical profile and outcome of diabetic foot ulcer, a view from tertiary care hospital in Semarang, Indonesia. Diab Foot Ankle. 2017 Jan 1;8(1):1312974.
- 11. Wu L, Hou Q, Zhou Q, Peng F. Prevalence of risk factors for diabetic foot complications in a Chinese tertiary hospital. Int J Clin Experimental Med. 2015;8(3):3785.

- 12. Al-Maskari F, El-Sadig M. Prevalence of risk factors for diabetic foot complications. BMC Fam Practice. 2007 Dec;8(1):59.
- 13. Nyamu PN, Otieno CF, Amayo EO, McLigeyo SO. Risk factors and prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi. East African Med J. 2003;80(1):36-43.
- 14. Al-Rubeaan K, Al Derwish M, Quizi S, Youssef AM, Subhani SN, Ibrahim HM, et al. Diabetic foot complications and their risk factors from a large retrospective cohort study. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0124446.
- 15. Zhang P, Lu J, Jing Y, Tang S, Zhu D, Bi Y. Global epidemiology of diabetic foot ulceration: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Med. 2017;49(2):106-16.

Cite this article as: Bhaktavatsalam M, Chavan MS. Prevalence and risk factors of diabetic foot ulcer at a tertiary care hospital among diabetic patients. Int J Adv Med 2018;5:1274-9.