Original Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-3933.ijam20170092

Prevalence of co-morbidities among adult patients presented for open heart surgery and the implication on convalescence period

Hayel Al-Adwan, Ashraf Fadel*, Yanal F. Al-Naser, Abdallah Al Qaysi, Rami Qsous, Ahmad Omar Al-issa R. N.

Department of Cardiac Anaesthesia, Queen Alia Heart Institute, Amman/Jordan

Received: 29 December 2016 Accepted: 18 January 2017

*Correspondence: Dr. Ashraf Fadel.

E-mail: ashraffadel1975@yahoo.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Improvements in perioperative medical care, anesthetic management, surgical and myocardial protection techniques made cardiac surgery feasible in the high risk surgical patients. The aim of the study was to determine the prevalence of comorbidities in adult patients undergoing open heart surgery and to evaluate their implications on recovery profile.

Methods: This randomized retrospective observational study of 100 adult patients presented for heart surgery for different pathologies took place at Queen Alia heart Institute in the period of time between February 2013 and June 2014. Patients' data was collected in forms, tabulated and retrospectively analyzed. Patients' demographics, comorbidities and type of surgery were recorded. Risk stratification models (ASA-American Society of Anesthesiology and EUROSCORE 2- European system for cardiac operative risk evaluation) were used. Time of extubation, ICU discharge and hospital discharge was recorded with each patient.

Results: Age of patients ranged from 18 to 77 years (mean±SD: 58±12). 83% of patients were male and 17% were female. 80 patients were presented for CABG and 20 patients for heart valve(s) surgery. BMI (body mass index, mean±SD) was 28.9±4.6 kg/m². The prevalence of smoking was 56% (6 times higher among males (64%), in comparison to females (12%). Hypertension was prevalent in 72% of patients; diabetes was present in 53%, respiratory disease in 30%, previous myocardial infarction in 23%, 37% of patients had left ventricular impairment, renal impairment in 6%, renal failure in 2% and previous stroke in 2%. EUROSCORE values ranged between 0.5 to 5.3 % (mean 1.4%). ASA grades ranged from 2 to 4 (85% of patients were grade 3). 5% of surgeries were emergent. Average operative time was 248±47 minutes (mean±SD). 30% of patients needed inotropic support and 6% needed intra-aortic balloon. Mean time in the intensive care was 43.2±28.8 hours (mean±SD).

Conclusions: There is a high prevalence of co-morbidities in patients presented for cardiac surgery. Most common associated diseases were hypertension, obesity, smoking, previous myocardial infarction and diabetes; which are all well known risk factors of ischemic heart disease. Preoperative risk scoring is of paramount importance.

Keywords: Cardiac surgery, Cardiopulmonary bypass, Comorbidities, Convalescence, Hospitalization time, Intensive care unit

INTRODUCTION

Improvements in perioperative medical care, anesthetic management, surgical and myocardial protection techniques made cardiac surgery feasible in the high risk surgical patients. Also, the increasing age of patients presented for cardiac surgery resulted in increased burden of risk factors and reduced functional levels. Different risk stratification models (Parsonnet score, EUROSCORE, CARE (Cardiac anaesthesia risk evaluation) score, STS (Society of Thoracic Surgeons) score, ASA (American Society of Anesthesiology) score and many others) were used with variable success to evaluate the preoperative risk of cardiac surgical population. Each of these scores takes into account several variables of risk factors that can help in patient counseling, selection of treatment options, assessment of risk/benefit, prediction postoperative morbidity and/or mortality, comparison of postoperative results, and quality-improvement programmes.¹

Among the aforementioned risk stratification tools, the EuroSCORE and the STS score are the two most frequently used in Europe and North America respectively. EuroSCORE involves 18 clinical parameters among three categories; each weighted accordingly, while STS score comprises 40 clinical parameters (or variables). Many published clinical articles studied and compared the performance of the up-to-date risk scoring models (tools) in accordance to demographic differences between patient's population and type of cardiac surgery. Some authors had conflicting opinions of superiority of one score over another while other authors resumed very similar in predictive performance. 5,6

Along with most commonly studied risk factors (left ventricular impairment, chronic respiratory disease, renal dysfunction, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity, peripheral vascular disease, previous stroke, previous myocardial infarction, smoking, redo cardiac surgery and others) age of patient, gender and the urgency of surgery (being elective, urgent or emergency) are used in preoperative risk assessment.

Important moments that can delineate early postoperative (convalescence) period are removal of endotracheal tube (extubation), intensive care unit discharge and hospital discharge. The influence of preoperative factors (demographic factors and comorbidities) on recovery will be demonstrated in this study.

METHODS

This observational study was performed at Queen Alia Heart Institute from February 2013 till June 2014. Ethical committee approval obtained. 100 adult patients presented for coronary artery grafting, valve(s) surgery or combined (CABG and valve) surgeries with cardiopulmonary bypass and aortic cross clamping were enrolled in this study. Data collected in a special form designed for the purpose of this study.

Inclusion criteria included: adult age, on-pump CABG, valve(s) or combined (CABG and valve). Exclusion criteria included: OPCAB (off-pump coronary artery

bypass), ASD (atrial septal defect), AAR (aortic arch replacement) and pre-operative cardiac arrest, mechanically ventilated prior to surgery and paediatric surgeries.

European system for cardiac operative risk evaluation (EUROSORE) has been calculated using the new model (also called EUROSCORE II), values ranged between 0.5 to 5.3 % (risk of mortality) with an average of 1.4%.

Independent t-test was used to determine the effect of gender, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, morbid obesity, left ventricular impairment, urgency of surgery. Correlation test was used for determine the effect of the BMI, operative time.

Comorbidities are coexisting diagnoses that are indirectly related to the principal surgical diagnosis but that may alter the outcome of operation. Left ventricular impairment was defined by an echocardiographic assessment of the left ventricular segmental motion. Previous myocardial infarction (MI) was defined if the patient had any Q wave appearance or enzyme leak in the past 6 months from surgery.

Respiratory disease was considered for any patient who is being followed or treated under the care of the pulmonology team. Smoking status is, any patient who did not quit smoking for the last 6 months before surgery is considered a smoker.

RESULTS

Average age of patients was 58 years (ranged between 18 and 77). Male: female ratio 4.9. The number of patients who underwent CABG is 84 (84%); the internal mammary artery was harvested and used in 60 patients (71.4% of those undergoing CABG, and 60% of the total number of patients in the study).

Table 1: Patients' demographics and comorbidities.

Variable	n (%) or mean±SD
Age(years) mean ± SD	57.5±11.5
BMI (kg/m ²) mean ± SD	28.9 ± 4.6
Morbid obesity BMI≥40 kg/m ² n (%)	2 (%)
Female n (%)	17 (%)
Male n (%)	83 (%)
Respiratory disease n (%)	30 (%)
Hypertension n (%)	73 (%)
Diabetes n (%)	54 (%)
Smoking n (%)	57 (%)
Previous MI n (%)	24 (%)
LV impairment n (%)	38 (%)

Table 2: Intraoperative characteristics and their influence on hospitalization time.

Intraoperative variables	Mean±SD	TOMV p-value	LOICUS p-value	LOH p-value
Operative time (minutes)	247±46	0.23	0.27	0.008
CPB time (minutes)	86±26	0.44	0.02	0.18
AXC time (minutes)	48±21	0.43	0,006	0.11
Hypothermia (° Celsius)	33±1.3	0.016	0.001	0.133

One valve surgery was performed in 8 patients. Four patients had more than one valve repaired and/or replaced, and another 4 patients had combined valve and CABG surgery. Most of the cases were elective (95 patients) and five cases were urgent.

Table 3: Postoperative characteristics.

Inotropic support n (%)	33 (%)
Post-operative blood loss (ml) mean±SD	412.7±328.7
Reopening (resternotomy) n (%)	4 (%)

Table 4: Effect of preoperative parameters on duration of hospitalization.

Variable		Number of patients (%)	ICU time Mean±SD	Hospital time Mean± SD
Gender	Male	83 (%)	1.7±1.1	4.9±0.9
	Female	17 (%)	1.9±1.4	5.8±2
p-value			0.580	0.007
LV Impairment	Yes	38 (%)	2.2±1.4	5.4±1.2
	No	62(%)	1.5±0.9	4.8±1.2
	p-value		0.002	0.024
HTN	Yes	72(%)	1.8±1.2	5.1±1.3
	No	28 (%)	1.6±1	5±0.8
p-value			0.446	0.790
DM	Yes	54 (%)	1.8±1.2	5±1
	No	46 (%)	1.7±1.2	5.1±1.4
p-value			0.597	0.654
Previous MI	Yes	24 (%)	1.7±1.2	4.8±1
	No	76 (%)	1.8±1.1	5.1±1.3
p-value			0.847	0.336
Morbid obesity	BMI*<=40	2 (%)	1.7±1	5±1
	BMI>40	98 (%)	3.5±3.5	8.5±5
p-value			0.043	0.001
Smoking	Yes	56 (%)	1.7±1.2	4.9±1
	No	44 (%)	1.8±1.2	5.3±1.4
p-value			0.850	0.104
Respiratory illness	Yes	30 (%)	2±1.3	5.1±1.3
	No	70 (%)	1.7±1.1	5.1±1.2
p-value			0.195	0.817
Urgency	Elective	95 (96%)	1.7±1.2	5±1.25
	Urgency	5 (5%)	2.8±1.5	5.8±0.8
	p-value		0.056	0.19

BMI: Body mass index (kg/m²).

DISCUSSION

Increased risk after cardiac surgery have been attributed to many different conditions such as renal dysfunction, diabetes, recent acute coronary syndromes, old age, left ventricular dysfunction, reoperation, urgency of surgery, female gender, type of surgery and body habitus.² The first model for risk scoring for cardiac surgery was introduced by Paienement et al. in 1980 at the Montreal Heart Institute.⁷

Since then many risk stratification tools were developed by cardiac surgeons, anaesthetists and intensive care doctors to aid patient counseling and decision making. 8-12 Validation of these risk stratification tools and comparisons between their performances was investigated thoroughly in recent literature. 13-19 Although risk scoring was originally designed to predict perioperative mortality after cardiac surgery its use for prediction intensive care unit length of stay and duration of hospitalization has been increasing. 20-22

Outcome after cardiac surgery is not solely affected by preoperative factors and anatomy or pathology of the heart and coronaries but also affected by durations of cardiopulmonary bypass and aortic cross clamping, blood (and products) transfusions, postoperative bleeding and resternotomy, postoperative stroke and renal failure. 23-31 It is important to note that mortality and morbidity can be cardiac and non-cardiac, and if cardiac, it can be ischemic or non-ischemic. Most authors consider early (30 day) mortality as most definitive outcome, in our study we consider outcome as when patient is discharged from ICU or when he or she is discharged from hospital and sent home. Prediction of duration of ICU and hospital stay has been shown to be an important factor in planning, better resource utilization and for establishing priority criteria, that is to decide who would benefit from the treatment in the proper time, all to "serve" the largest number of patients in need, in the proper time. 32,33

Of the preoperative factors discussed in this study preoperative left ventricular dysfunction (p = 0.02), morbid obesity (p = 0.001), and female gender (p = 0.007) had most significant influence on postoperative convalescence as hospital discharge was delayed (Table 4). Other preoperative factors that caused statistically non-significant delay in postoperative recovery were diabetes, hypertension, urgency of surgery and smoking. Gender and old age were found to be as independent risk factors by many authors. 34,35

Intraoperative factors studied are duration of surgery, duration of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), duration of aortic cross clamping (AXC) and the level of hypothermia utilized during surgery. Operative time had most significant influence on overall hospital stay (p = 0.008); probably due to accumulation of anesthetic drugs used which could result delayed extubation. Intraoperative hypothermia and durations of CPB and AXC delayed intensive care unit stay but didn't have statistically significant influence on hospitalization. Possible explanation of the effect of duration of hypothermia utilized during open heart surgery is the effect on phrenic nerve which may cause diaphragmatic weakness and delay in extubation.³⁶ Implications of prolonged CPB were attributed to increase in micro-embolic load which also may delay early postoperative recovery.³⁷ Postoperative factors here that were found to influence postoperative recovery were inotropic support, postoperative bleeding (and eventually resternotomy) and re-intubation, are usually due to comorbidities (preoperative factors) such as preoperative left ventricular dysfunction, coagulopathies (and blood thinning drugs) and respiratory disease. Incidence of resternotomy (reopening) in our study was 4%. Patients presented for urgent surgery usually receive antiplatelet therapy (clopidogrel) prior to coronary angiography and have higher tendency for bleeding, which attribute for delay in recovery; probably due to re-administration of induction doses of anesthetic drugs and need of massive blood (and products) transfusion with consequent risk of acute lung injury, which can disastrous in patients with compromised pulmonary function preoperatively. 38,39

CONCLUSION

Cardiac surgical patients often have comorbid illnesses that can have serious implications postoperatively. Preoperative assessment and optimization of comorbid patients is of paramount value and is best achieved by multidisciplinary approach and careful planning. Scores that can predict convalescence period duration need to be developed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author would like to thank Dr Monir Al-dokom (Chief of department of cardiac anaesthesia) for his advice and support to accomplish this study.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

- 1. Geissler HJ, Holzl P, Marohl S. Risk stratification in heart surgery: comparison of six score systems. European J Cardiothoracic Surg. 2000;17:400-6.
- Kaplan JA, Cheng CH, Brainbridge D. Kaplan's cardiac anesthesia. Fifth edition. Saunders, Elsevier. 2006:283-298.
- 3. Kunt AG, Kurtcephe M, Hidiroglu M. Comparison of original EuroSCORE, EuroSCORE II and STS risk models in a Turkish cardiac surgical cohort. Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2013;16(5):625-9.
- 4. Roques F, Nashef SAM, Michel P. Risk factors and outcome in European cardiac surgery: analysis of the EuroSCORE multinational database of 19030 patients. European J Cardiothoracic Surg. 1999;15:816-23.
- Wendt D, Osswald BR, Kayser K, Thielmann M. The performance of the EuroSCORE and the society of thoracic surgeons mortality risk score: the gender factor. society of thoracic surgeons score is superior to the EuroSCORE determining mortality in high risk patients undergoing isolated aortic valve replacement. Ann Thorac Surg. 2009;88(2):468-74.
- 6. Niv A, Scott D, Barnett, Speir AM. The performance of the EuroSCORE and the society of thoracic surgeons mortality risk score: the gender factor. CardioVasc Thorac Surg. 2007;6(2):192-5.
- 7. Tremblay NA, Hardy JF, Perrault J, Carrier M. A simple classification of the risk in cardiac surgery: the first decade. Can J Anaesth. 1993;40(2):103-11.

- 8. Granton J, Cheng D. Risk stratification models for cardiac surgery. Semin Cardiothoracic Vasc Anesth. 2008;12(3):167-74.
- 9. Prins C, Jonker CV, Botes L. Cardiac surgery risk-stratification models. Cardiovascular Journal Africa. 2012;23(3):20-2.
- 10. Nilsson J, Algotsson L. Comparison of 19 preoperative risk stratification models in open-heart surgery. European Heart Journal. 2006;27:867-74.
- 11. Geissler HJ, Hoelzl P, Marohl S. Risk stratification in heart surgery: comparison of six score systems. European J Cardiothoracic Surg. 2000;17:400-6.
- 12. Shahian DM, Blackstone EH, Fred H. Edwards MD. Cardiac surgery risk models: a position article. Ann Thorac Surg. 2004;78:1868-77.
- Nozohoor S, Sjogren J, Ivert T. Validation of a modified EuroSCORE risk stratification model for cardiac surgery: the Swedish experience. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2011;40(1):185-91.
- Osnabrugge RL, Speir AM, Head SJ. Performance of EuroSCORE II in a large US database: implications for transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2014;46(3):400-8.
- Zhang CX, Xu JP, Ge YP, Wei Y. Validation of four different risk stratification models in patients undergoing heart valve surgery in a single center in China. Chin Med J. 2011;124(15):2254-9.
- Jack V, Susan B, David N. Multicenter validation of a risk index for mortality, intensive care unit stay, and overall hospital length of stay after cardiac surgery. Circulation. 1995;91:677-84.
- 17. Gopinath R, Padhy N, Padmaja D. Does parsonnet scoring model predict mortality following adult cardiac surgery in India? Annals Cardiac Anaesthesia. 2015;18(2):161-9.
- 18. Maria R, Mazzoni M, Parolini M. Predictive value of EuroSCORE on long term outcome in cardiac surgery patients: a single institution study. Heart. 2005;91(6):779-84.
- Nery RM, Pietrobon RC, Mahmud MI. Comparison of two risk stratification models in elective coronary artery bypass patients. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 2010;56(5):547-50.
- Roelof GA, Etterna. Prediction models for prolonged intensive care unit stay after cardiac surgery: systematic review and validation study. Circulation. 2010;122:682-9.
- Hirosea H, Inabaa H, Noguchi C. EuroSCORE predicts postoperative mortality, certain morbidities, and recovery time. Cardio Vascthorac Surg. 2009;9(4):613-7
- 22. Lawrence DR, Valencia O, Smith EJ. Parsonnet score is a good predictor of the duration of intensive care unit stay following cardiac surgery. Heart. 2000;83:429-32.
- Sarraf NA, Thalib L, Hughes A. Cross-clamp time is an independent predictor of mortality and morbidity in low- and high-risk cardiac patients. Int J Surg. 2011;9:104-9.
- 24. Paarmann H, Efstratios I, Charitos. Duration of cardiopulmonary bypass is an important confounder

- when using biomarkers for early diagnosis of acute kidney injury in cardiac surgical patients. Applied Cardiopulmonary Pathophysiology. 2013;17:284-97.
- Brown WR, Dixon M, Challa VR. Longer duration of cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with greater numbers of cerebral microemboli. Stroke. 2000;31:707-13.
- Ebell B, Gimpel H, Hinrich S. Influence of clamp duration and pressure on endothelial damage in aortic cross-clamping. CardioVascular Thoracic Surg. 2010;10:168-71.
- 27. Zilberberg MD, Carter C, Lefebvre P. Red blood cell transfusions and the risk of acute respiratory distress syndrome among the critically ill: a cohort study. Critical Care. 2007;11:6.
- 28. Marik PE, Corwin HL. Acute lung injury following blood transfusion: expanding the definition. Crit Care Med. 2008;36(11):3080-4.
- Ahmed A, Kojicic M, Herasevich V. Early identification of patients with or at risk of acute lung injury. Neth J Med. 2009;67(9):268-71.
- 30. Silverboard H, Aisiku I, Martin GS. The role of acute blood transfusion in the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome in patients with severe trauma. J Trauma. 2005;59(3):717-23.
- 31. Hadorn DC, Holmes AC. The New Zealand priority criteria project. BMJ. 1997;314:131-4.
- Varennes B, Lachapelle K, Cecere R. Application of the parsonnet scoring system for a Canadian cardiac surgery program. Canadian J Cardiol. 2007;23(13):1061-5.
- 33. Blankstein R, Ward RP, Arnsdorf M. Female gender is an independent predictor of operative mortality after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: Contemporary Analysis of 31 midwestern hospitals. Circulation. 2005;112:323-7.
- 34. Frelich M, Tutka F, Pokorn P. Cardiac surgery in elderly patients. Scripta Medica (BRNO). 2003;76(6):341-6.
- 35. Mills GH, Khan ZP, Moxham J. Effects of temperature on phrenic nerve and diaphragmatic function during cardiac surgery. British J Anesthesia. 1997;79:726-32.
- Brown WR, Dixon MM, Challa VR. Longer duration of cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with greater numbers of cerebral microemboli. Stroke. 2000;31:707-13.
- 37. Adwan HA, Moh'd SF. Predictors of postoperative mechanical ventilation time, length of icu stay and hospitalization period after cardiac surgery in adults. JRMS. 2015;22(4):50-5.
- 38. Sharma S, Sharma P, Tyler LN. Transfusion of blood and blood products: indications and complications. Am Fam Physician. 2011;83(6):719-24.

Cite this article as: Adwan HA, Fadel A, Naser YFA, Qaysi AA, Qsous R, Al-issa RORN. Prevalence of comorbidities among adult patients presented for open heart surgery and the implication on convalescence period. Int J Adv Med 2017;4:1-5.