

Research Article

Study of 100 cases of pleural effusion with reference to diagnostic approach

Pujan Parikh¹, Jaydeep Odhwani^{2*}, Chirag Ganagajalia³

¹Consulting Physician, Kokilaben Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

²Assistant Professor, Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Science, Bhuj, Gujarat

³Consulting Physician, Jamnagar, Gujarat, India

Received: 19 January 2016

Accepted: 27 March 2016

*Correspondence:

Dr. Jaydeep Odhwani,

E-mail: dr.jaydeepodhwani@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Collection of an abnormal quality and quantity of fluid in the pleural cavity is called pleural effusion. In this study an attempt has been made to arrive at the etiological diagnosis of pleural effusion by analysis of history, clinical presentation, biochemical, radiological, cytological and bacteriological methods.

Methods: This study was carried out in the Department of Pulmonary Medicine, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. In this study total 100 indoor patients of adult age and either sex were taken. Patients with pleural effusion as determined by clinical and or radiological means, thoracentesis on who yield a minimum amount of fluid enough to carry out routine test were included in the study. Patients with pleural effusion with non aspirable fluid quantity decided clinically or radiologically, were excluded.

Results: Most of the patients were between the age group of 31-40 years and males (68%). Most of the patients of tuberculous effusion were from younger age group between 21-50 years. Most common symptom was chest pain (72%) followed by fever (62%). Most of cases were tuberculous (62%) followed by malignant (18%). There were 15 patients with undiagnosed pleural effusion, in which, thoracoscopic pleural biopsy was done, among them 9 patients had malignancy and 5 patients had tuberculous pleural effusion.

Conclusions: Most of etiologies for pleural effusion were tuberculosis among young's and malignancy in older age. Right sided pleural effusion was more common in exudative effusion while bilateral pleural effusion was more common in patients with transudative. Thoracentesis followed by pleural fluid analysis is the best method to diagnose the underlying etiology. Thoracoscopic pleural biopsy also has good role in undiagnosed pleural effusions.

Keywords: Pleural effusion, Pleura, Thoracoscopy

INTRODUCTION

Collection of an abnormal quality and quantity of fluid in the pleural cavity is called pleural effusion.¹ Collection of fluid in pleural cavity has varied etiological factors.² Because of the various etiologies that can cause pleural effusion, it often present a diagnostic problem, even after extensive investigations. The initial step is the distinction between transudates and exudates as this gives an indication of pathophysiological mechanisms, differential

diagnosis and the need for further investigation. There are many criteria to differentiate exudates but none has 100% sensitivity and specificity. The diagnosis of cause of pleural effusion is usually done by clinical, radiological, histological and laboratory findings.

In this study an attempt has been made to arrive at the etiological diagnosis by analysis of history, clinical presentation, biochemical, radiological, cytological and bacteriological methods. The aims and objectives behind the study are as following; (1) to study the clinical profile

of pleural effusion; (2) to study the radiological manifestation of pleural effusion; (3) to study the laboratory diagnostic findings in pleural effusion; (4) to study the etiological factors of pleural effusion and (5) to study efficacy of pleuroscopy in undiagnosed pleural effusion.

METHODS

The present study was carried out in the Department of Pulmonary Medicine, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad, India. In this study total 100 indoor patients of adult age and either sex were taken.

Inclusion criteria

Adult patient with pleural effusion as determined by clinical and or radiological means, thoracocentesis on who yield a minimum amount of fluid enough to carry out routine test were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Patients with pleural effusion with non aspirable fluid quantity decided clinically or radiologically, were excluded.

All patients underwent detailed clinical examination and routine laboratory examination like blood test for hemoglobin, total WBC count, differential WBC count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, random blood sugar, RFTS, serum proteins, urine examination, sputum examination and tuberculin test will be carried out in all patients. A plain chest X ray postero-anterior view taken prior to thoracocentesis and another was taken after thoracocentesis to rule out complications. Additional films and ultrasound, computed tomography scan was done whenever indicated. Pleural fluid analysis was done for protein, sugar, total cell count, differential cell count, gram’s stain, ZN stain, culture and sensitivity and adenosine deaminase (ADA) level. Pleural fluid cytology was done in suspected malignant pleural effusion. Additional investigations like ANA screening, ANA profile, CECT thorax and 2D-Echo was done. In

undiagnosed pleural effusion thoracoscopy guided pleural biopsy was taken. Diagnosis was made on clinical examination, radiological examination and analysis of laboratory data.

RESULTS

Most of the patients were between the age group of 31-40 and 41-50 and they constituted the 36% and 28% of total cases respectively and pleural effusion was more common in males (68%) as compared to females (32%).

Table 1 suggests that out of 100 cases maximum number of cases of pleural effusion were tuberculous (62%). Out of 62 patients of tuberculous effusion, 6 patients were positive for HIV, 8 patients were diabetic, 1 patient was hypertensive and 2 patients had ischemic heart disease. Among 62 patients of tuberculous effusion only 4 patients had past history of tuberculosis. 2 patients with congestive cardiac failure were having ischemic heart disease in the past and 1 patient had hypertension. Out of 10 patients with parapneumonic effusion 2 patients were diabetic. Out of 18 patients of malignant pleural effusion 3 patients were hypertensive. Majority of patients had right sided effusion. Right sided effusions were also more common in tuberculous and malignant effusions.

Table 1: Age and sex wise distribution of pleural effusion.

Age group (in years)	Number of patients			Percentage (%)
	Male	Female	Total	
11-20	4	2	6	6
21-30	10	4	14	14
31-40	22	14	36	36
41-50	20	8	28	28
51-60	9	3	12	12
>60	3	1	4	4
Total	68	32	100	100

The most common symptom was chest pain (72%) followed by fever (62%), breathlessness (58%) and cough (61%).

Table 2: Etiology of pleural effusion.

Diagnosis	R	(%)	L	(%)	B/L	(%)	M	F	Total
Tuberculous	41	66.12	21	33.87	0	0	42	20	62
Malignant	11	61.11	7	38.88	0	0	12	6	18
Parapneumonic	7	70	3	30	0	0	7	3	10
Congestive cardiac failure	0	0	1	20	4	80	3	2	5
Hypoproteinemia	0	0	0	0	1	100	1	0	1
Other causes*	1	25	2	50	1	25	3	1	4
Total	60		34		6				100

R = right sided; L = left sided; B/L = bilateral; M = males; F = females; * Other causes includes empyema (2%); RA pleurisy (1%) and hemithorax (1%).

96.77% of patients of tuberculous effusion, 94.44% patients of malignant effusion and 90% patients of parapneumonic effusion had pleural fluid protein value $>3\text{gm\%}$ and had pleural fluid protein to serum protein ratio >0.5 . 57 (91.93%) patient with tuberculous effusion had pleural fluid ADA levels more than 40. In etiologies like congestive cardiac failure and hypoproteinemia all (100%) patients had pleural fluid protein $<3\text{ gm\%}$. Pleural fluid cytology was positive for malignant cells in 9 patients of malignancy out of 18 patients, confirmed by biopsy. Total 91% patients had exudative pleural effusion (Table 2).

There were 15 patients with undiagnosed pleural effusion were gone through Thoracoscopy guided pleural biopsy which gave 100% yield in diagnosis. Out of 15, biopsy suggests 9 patients with malignancy, 5 patients with tuberculosis and 1 patient with parapneumonic pleural effusion. Bronchoscopy was not performed in any patient in this study.

Table 3: Pleural fluid ADA levels in various pleural effusions.

ADA(U/L) Level	<40	41-55	>55
Tuberculous (62)	5	25	32
Malignant (18)	17	0	1
Parapneumonic (10)	9	1	0
Congestive cardiac failure (5)	5	0	0
Hypoproteinemia (1)	1	0	0
Other causes (4)	2	0	2
Total (100)	39	26	35

DISCUSSION

In the present study pleural effusions were more common in 31-40 years of age group which is comparable with the study of Shah H. In study done by Burgers it was among 41-50 years of age group. In present study more common etiology was tuberculosis as India is prevalent country for it so it is common among young age group. In the present study group male to female ratio was 2:21:1, which is comparable with the studies of Maldhure (2.13:1), Amethiya P (2.34:1) and Hirsch (2.53:1).³⁻⁵

Most of the patients in present study had right sided pleural effusion (60%) which is comparable with the study of Ambethiya P (right side pleural effusion-60%) and Dambal A (right side pleural effusion-58.2%).^{4,6} Tuberculous pleural effusion more commonly occurs in right side because it involves right lung more than left lung.

In the present study incidence of exudative effusion was 91% which was comparable with the study of Amethiya P (94%). In various other studies the incidence of exudative effusion was low which can be explained by the fact that tuberculosis is more prevalent in India having exudative etiology.

In the present study incidence of tuberculous pleural effusion was 62% which was comparable to the studies of Thiruvengadam (64%), Damlal A (65.5%) and Amethiya P (68%) which were done in India while in various other studies incidence of tuberculous pleural effusion was low, which can be explained by the fact that TB is more prevalent in india.^{4,6,7} Incidence of malignant pleural effusion was 18% which was comparable with the Indian studies of Amethiya P (18%), Damlal A (18.2%), Thiruvengadam (21%) and Valdes (22.9%).^{4,6-8}

Table 3 suggests that most of the tuberculous pleural effusions had elevated levels of pleural fluid ADA. Some of the malignant and parapneumonic pleural effusions also had raised pleural fluid ADA. So it is advisable not to rely only on this investigation for diagnosing tuberculous pleural effusion. Table 4 suggests that exudative effusions are more common.

Table 4: Transudates and exudates.

Study	Transudate	Exudative
Valdes ⁸	25.69%	74.31%
Ram KN ⁹	32.5%	67.5%
Amethiya P ⁴	6%	94%
Present Study	9%	91%

100% success rate in diagnosis was found in thoracoscopy guided pleural biopsy in undiagnosed pleural effusion which is comparable with various previous studies (Table 5).

Table 5: Thoracoscopy in undiagnosed pleural effusion.

Study	No. of patients diagnosed
Tscheikuna et al ¹⁰	95% (n=34)
Kendall et al ¹¹	83% (n=48)
Mootha VK et al ¹²	74.3% (n=35)
Present study	100% (15)

CONCLUSION

To conclude the study, it is sure that tuberculous pleural effusion is most common etiology followed by malignancy. Thoracocentesis followed by pleural fluid analysis is the best method to diagnose the underlying etiology. Undiagnosed pleural effusions can be best diagnosed by thoracoscopic pleural biopsy and histopathologic analysis. It is safe.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee

REFERENCES

1. Anthony Seaton : crofton and Douglas's Respiratory Disease 5th edition. 2000;43:1152-80.
2. Harrison's principles of Internal Medicine 16th edition. 2004;245:1565-9.
3. Maldhure BR, Bedekar SP, Kulkarni HP, Papinwar SP. Pleural biopsy and adenosine deaminase in pleural fluid for the diagnosis of tuberculous pleural effusion. *The Indian Journal of Tuberculosis.* 1994;41:161-5.
4. Kataria YP, Khurshid I. Adenosine deaminase in the diagnosis of tuberculous pleural effusion. *Chest.* 2001;120(2):334-6.
5. Hirsch A, Ruffie P, Nebut M. Pleural effusion: laboratory tests in 300 cases. *Thorax.* 1979;34(1):106-12.
6. Dambal A, Patil BS, Hegde AC. A dissertation submitted to Karnataka University 1998.
7. Thiruvengadam D, Angali V, Madangopalan. Etiological diagnosis of punch biopsy of pleura. *Disease of Chest.* 1962;42(5):529-33.
8. Valdes L, Alvarez D, Valle JM, Pose A, Jose ES. The etiology of pleural effusions in an area with high incidence of tuberculosis. *Chest.* 1996;109(1):158-62.
9. Ram KN, Jaya Sing RS. Diagnostic value of cholesterol in pleural effusions. *JAPI.* 1995;43(11):748-50.
10. Tscheikuna J, Silairatana S, Sangkeaw S, Nana A. Outcome of medical thoracoscopy. *J Med Assoc Thai.* 2009;92(Suppl 2):S19-23.
11. Kendall SW, Bryan AJ, Large SR, Wells FC. Pleural effusions: is thoracoscopy a reliable investigation? A retrospective review. *Respir Med.* 1992;86(5):437-40.
12. Mootha VK, Agarwal R, Singh N, Agarwal AN, Gupta D, Jindal SK. Medical thoracoscopy for undiagnosed pleural effusions: experience from a tertiary care hospital in North India. *Medical thoracoscopy for pleural effusion.* 2011;53:21-4.

Cite this article as: Parikh P, Odhwani J, Ganagajalia C. Study of 100 cases of pleural effusion with reference to diagnostic approach. *Int J Adv Med* 2016;3:328-331.