

## Original Research Article

# Diagnostic and prognostic significance of procalcitonin in septicemia

Azhar Ali Khan<sup>1\*</sup>, Reeta Singh<sup>2</sup>, Pavan Kumar Singh<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Baba Raghav Das Medical College, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Baba Raghav Das Medical College, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Received:** 12 March 2017

**Accepted:** 18 March 2017

### \*Correspondence:

Dr. Azhar Ali Khan,

E-mail: draak76@rediffmail.com

**Copyright:** © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Sepsis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality. Early diagnosis and treatment with adequate antimicrobial therapy are essential for successful treatment. Despite the use of available treatment modalities mortality in sepsis remains high, often due to delayed diagnosis and treatment.

**Methods:** A total of 60 patients were enrolled from Nehru Hospital, B.R.D. Medical College, Gorakhpur during the study period July 2013 to July 2014. All the patients were subjected to detailed clinical examination and investigations. Patient's clinical profile, progression of disease and outcome were recorded. PCT and various other relevant factors were measured in all study subjects. The study was designed to assess the levels of serum PCT in patients with septicemia and to see whether serum PCT level correlates with severity of septicemia and survival outcome.

**Results:** Procalcitonin is a useful marker for severity of infection. High procalcitonin level is highly specific for infection. Low procalcitonin level cannot be used safely to exclude the presence of infection. Higher level of serum procalcitonin predicts mortality better than other parameters available.

**Conclusions:** From present study we can conclude that although sepsis is mainly a clinical diagnosis and its severity can be assessed by scores like APACHE II, but serum procalcitonin is a good marker for the assessing severity of the sepsis. Serum procalcitonin can aid in early diagnosis as it appears in blood earlier than other markers.

**Keywords:** APACHE, CRP, PCT, SIRS

## INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality. Early diagnosis and treatment with adequate antimicrobial therapy are essential for successful treatment. Despite the use of available treatment modalities mortality in sepsis remains high, often due to delayed diagnosis and treatment. Various diagnostic markers like TNF  $\alpha$ , IL6, IL 1  $\beta$ , C reactive protein (CRP) and lipopolysaccharide binding proteins are available but in septicemia they are increased briefly or intermittently. Out of these markers CRP is most widely used. The common problem for the mediator is its nonspecific nature and variable correlation with severity of the disease. Procalcitonin (PCT) has

recently been proposed as a marker of bacterial infection in critically ill patients, and its levels related to the severity of sepsis. PCT has also several advantages over other inflammatory markers like its earlier increase in response to an infection that is maintained even with immunosuppressive medications.

It has better negative predictive value and a better correlation with outcome (mortality). In addition to clinical and microbiological parameters PCT may further help to differentiate blood contamination from blood stream infection.<sup>1,2</sup> In healthy individuals concentration of PCT are substantially below 0.1 mg/l. The most potent stimulator for PCT induction is bacterial endotoxins.

Patients with viral and localised bacterial infections have lower plasma PCT levels than with septicemic infections.<sup>3</sup> Recent studies show that PCT might not just be a marker of infection, but more importantly a good marker of severity of infection.

There are numerous 'severity of illness scoring systems' but most commonly used scoring systems are APACHE (acute physiology and chronic health evaluation), MPM (mortality probability model) and SAPS (simplified acute physiology score) system. These systems are difficult to calculate and have not been validated in prediction of mortality. Moreover, they cannot be used as diagnostic tool. PCT appears to be a diagnostic tool as well as a good marker of severity of infection

## METHODS

The patients who had symptoms suggestive of sepsis were included in the study. Patients were selected from those admitted in indoor of the department of medicine and obstetrics and gynecology department, Nehru hospital, BRD Medical College, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India.

### Inclusion criteria

- Bacteraemia- presence of bacteria in blood as evidenced by positive blood culture.
- Septicemia- presence of microbes or their toxins in blood.
- Systemic inflammatory response syndrome- 2 or more of the following conditions-
  - a. Fever (oral temperature  $>38.0$  or hypothermia  $<36.0$ )
  - b. Tachypnoea ( $>24$  breath /minute)
  - c. Tachycardia (Heart rate  $>90$ /minute)
  - d. Leucocytosis ( $>12000/\mu\text{L}$ ), leucopenia ( $<4000/\mu\text{L}$ ) or 10 % band cells.
- Sepsis- SIRS that has a proven or suspected microbial etiology.

### Exclusion criteria

- Patients having thyroid tumours
- Patients suffering from carcinoma of lungs

All investigations were done at pathology department, blood culture at microbiology department and serum for Procalcitonin was taken and sent to reference lab (path care, Hyderabad). APACHE II score and CRUB- 65 score was calculated at the time of admission to assess the severity of illness.

Aim and objectives of the study were to assess the levels of serum PCT in patients with septicemia, to see whether serum PCT level correlates with severity of septicemia and survival outcome and whether PCT measurement can

help in differentiating between bacterial and other kinds of inflammatory process.

## RESULTS

The Patients admitted at Nehru Hospital, BRD Medical College, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India were taken for the study. A total of 60 patients were included in the study. The study period was from July 2013 to July 2014. All the patients were subjected to detailed clinical examination. Patient's clinical profile, progression of disease and outcome were recorded and following observations were made.

**Table 1: Distribution of age and sex.**

Age	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
<50	18	30	14	23.33	32	53.33
>50	14	23.34	14	23.33	28	46.67
Total	32	53.34	28	46.66	60	100

Total 60 patients were enrolled in the study. Out of them 32 (53.34%) were male and 28 (46.66) were female. 18 (30%) male and 14 (23.33%) female patients were <50 years of age.

**Table 2: Symptoms.**

Presenting complains	No. of patients	%
Fever	56	93.33
Breathlessness	20	33.33
Cough	14	23.33
Burning micturition	12	20
Headache	12	20
Vomiting	12	20
Pain in abdomen	8	13.33

The most common presenting symptom was fever. It was present in 56 (93.33%) patients. The next common symptoms were breathlessness in 33.33% and cough in 23.33% patients. Tachycardia (P.R.  $>90$ ) was present in all the patients included for the study. Hypotension (systolic B.P.  $<90\text{mmHg}$ ) was present in 22 (36.66%) patients. Tachypnoea (respiratory rate  $>24$ ) was present in 52 (86.66%) patients. The temperature of more than  $38^{\circ}\text{C}$  was present in 56 (93.33%) patients and less than  $36^{\circ}\text{C}$  in 4 (6.67%) patients. Anemia was present in 54 (90 %) patients. TLC was raised ( $>12000$  cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) in all 60 patients. DLC was predominantly polymorphic in 56 (93.33%) and lymphocytic predominance in 4 (6.67%) patients.

Serum creatinine was raised ( $>1.5\text{mg/dl}$ ) in 38 (63.33%) patients. Blood urea was raised ( $>40$  mg/dl) in 42 (70%) patients. SGPT was raised ( $>40$  IU/L) in 44 (73.33%) patients. Blood culture was negative in all patients included in the study.

**Table 3: Clinical examination.**

<b>Pulse</b>		
<b>Pulse rate</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
>90	60	100
<90	0	0
<b>Blood pressure</b>		
<b>Systolic B.P.</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
<90	22	33.66
>90	38	63.34
<b>Respiratory rate</b>		
<b>Resp. rate/min.</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
<24	8	13.34
>24	52	88.66
<b>Temperature</b>		
<b>Temperature</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
>38°C	56	93.33
<38°C	4	6.67
<b>Hematological and biochemical investigations</b>		
<b>Haemoglobin</b>		
<b>Hb (gm%)</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
<6	4	6.67
6-9	18	30
9-12	32	53.33
>12	6	10
<b>Total leucocyte count</b>		
<b>TLC (cells/mm<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
>12000	60	100
4000-12000	0	0
<4000	0	0
<b>Differential leucocyte count</b>		
<b>DLC (cells/mm<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
Polymorph dominant	56	93.34
Lymphocyte dominant	4	6.66
<b>Serum creatinine and blood urea</b>		
<b>S. creatinine (mg/dl)</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
<1.5	22	36.67
>1.5	38	63.33
<b>B. urea</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
<40	18	30
>40	21	70
<b>SGPT</b>		
<b>SGPT (IU/L)</b>	<b>No. of patients</b>	<b>%</b>
<40	16	26.67
>40	44	73.33
<b>Blood culture</b>		
Negative in all cases		

All the patients who had normal serum procalcitonin (<0.1mg/L) no mortality occurred. In those, whom serum Procalcitonin was between 0.1-0.25mg/L, 27.3% (6 out of 22) patient died and in patients whom serum procalcitonin was >0.25, mortality was 62.5% (Table 4).

Chi square test was applied and found statically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) that mortality was significantly high

in raised serum PCT group. The correlation between APACHE II score, serum procalcitonin and mortality was found significant (Table 4).

**Table 4: Serum procalcitonin (PCT) level and mortality.**

<b>Serum PCT level (mg/l)</b>	<b>Patients No. (%)</b>	<b>Survival No. (%)</b>	<b>Death No. (%)</b>
<0.1	22 (36.6)	22 (100)	0 (0)
0.1-0.25	22(36.6)	16(72.7)	6 (27.3)
>0.25	16 (26.7)	6 (37.5)	10 (62.5)

$X^2$  2df=9.2549,  $p < 0.05$ .

In Table 5, out of 60 patients 16 were suffering from pneumonia, 13 septic meningitis, 11 diabetic foot, and 7 septic abortion, 6 complicated UTI, 4 acute pancreatitis and 3 pyothorax. APACHE II score of <25 is indicator of lesser severity of illness. Patients were divided in two groups, APACHE II score <25 and APACHE II score >25.

In APACHE II score <25 group, 28 patients were included. Out of those 28 patients serum procalcitonin was raised in 8. Total of 4 patients died in this group. In all the 4 patients who died, serum procalcitonin level was raised. The mortality rate in the group of APACHE II score <25, according to APACHE II score is 14.28% (4 out of 28 patients) and mortality rate of raised serum Procalcitonin is 50% (4 out of 8 patients). In APACHE II score >25 group, 32 patients were included. Out of those 32 patients serum procalcitonin was raised in 30. Total of 12 patients died in this group. In all the 12 patients who died, serum procalcitonin level was raised. The mortality rate in the group of APACHE II score >25, mortality rate according to APACHE II score is 37.5% (12 out of 32 patients) and mortality rate of raised serum procalcitonin is 40% (12 out of 30 patients).

## DISCUSSION

Sepsis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality.<sup>1</sup> Rapid detection of sepsis is difficult because the initial signs of diseases are usually nonspecific.<sup>2</sup> Early diagnosis of severe infection and prompt initiation of adequate antimicrobial therapy are essential for successful treatment.<sup>3</sup> Various diagnostic markers like TNF  $\alpha$ , IL6, IL 1 $\beta$ , C reactive protein (CRP) and lipopolysaccharide binding protein are available but in septicemia they increased briefly or intermittently.<sup>4-7</sup> Out of these markers, C reactive protein is most widely used. The common problem for the mediator is its nonspecific nature and variable correlation with severity of the disease. Procalcitonin has recently been proposed as a marker of bacterial infection in critically ill patients.<sup>8,9</sup> In bacterial infection and sepsis, procalcitonin levels are increased in the blood which can be used for diagnosis of sepsis and more importantly, its level is related to the severity of sepsis.<sup>8-10</sup> There are numerous 'severity of illness scoring systems' but most commonly used scoring

systems are APACHE (acute physiology and chronic health evaluation), MPM (mortality probability model) and SAPS (simplified acute physiology score) system. These systems are difficult to calculate and have not been validated in prediction of mortality. More over them cannot be used as diagnostic tool. PCT appears to be a diagnostic tool as well as a good marker of severity of infection.<sup>15</sup>

This study included 60 patients of clinically diagnosed sepsis (having at least 2 features of SIRS) of various etiologies. All patients underwent detailed clinical examination, haematological investigations, blood culture. Serum procalcitonin was measured in all cases at the time of admission. Repeat measurement of PCT was not done.

**Table 5: Relation between focus of infection, APACHE II and serum procalcitonin (PCT) level.**

Focus of infection	APACHE II < 25			APACHE II > 25		
	Patients No. (%)	PCT > 0.1	Death	Patients No. (%)	PCT > 0.1	Death
Septic meningitis	7 (25)	3 (10.7)	2 (7.1)	6 (18.8)	6 (18.8)	3 (9.4)
Pneumonia	9 (32.1)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.6)	7 (21.9)	6 (18.8)	2 (6.3)
Septic abortion	3 (10.7)	0	0	4 (12.5)	4 (12.5)	3 (9.4)
Complicated UTI	2 (7.1)	0	0	4 (12.5)	4 (12.5)	1 (3.1)
Diabetic foot	6 (21.4)	2 (7.1)	1 (3.6)	5 (15.5)	4 (12.5)	1 (3.1)
Acute pancreatitis	1 (3.6)	0	0	3 (9.4)	3 (9.4)	1 (3.1)
Pyothorax	0	0	0	3 (9.4)	3 (9.4)	1 (3.1)
Total (60)	28 (46.6)	8 (28.6)	4 (14.3)	32 (53.4)	30 (93.8)	12 (37.5)

Total 60 patients were enrolled in the study. Out of them 32 (53.34%) were male and 28 (46.66%) were female. 18 (30%) male and 14 (23.33%) female patients were <50 years of age (Table 1). The most common presenting symptom was fever. It was present in 56 (93.33%) patients. The next common symptoms were breathlessness in 33.33% and cough in 23.33% patients (Table 2). Tachycardia (P.R. >90) was present in all the patients included for the study. Hypotension (systolic B.P. <90mmHg) was present in 22 (36.66%) patients. Tachypnoea (respiratory rate >24) was present in 52 (86.66%) patients. The temperature of more than 38°C was present in 56 (93.33%) patients and less than 36°C in 4 (6.67%) patients.

Anemia was present in 54 (90%) patients. TLC was raised (> 12000 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) in all 60 patients. DLC was predominantly polymorphic in 56 (93.33%) and lymphocytic predominance in 4 (6.67%) patients. Serum creatinine was raised (>1.5mg/dl) in 38 (63.33%) patients. Blood urea was raised (>40 mg/dl) in 42 (70%) patients. SGPT was raised (>40 IU/L) in 44 (73.33%) patients. Blood culture was negative in all patients included in the study (Table 3). TLC >12000 or <4000 cell/mm<sup>3</sup>, pulse rate >90/minute, respiratory rate >24/minute and temperature >38°C or <36°C are essential diagnostic criteria for SIRS. In our series of patients increased TLC and tachycardia were found in all (100%) patients, tachypnoea in 86.66% and raised temperature in 93.33% patients. Serum procalcitonin level was more than 0.25mg/L in 16 (26.66%) patients out of which 10 (62.5%) died. In 22 (36.66%) patients, serum procalcitonin was between 0.1-0.25mg/L, 6 (10%)

patients died in this group. In the group of 22 (36.66%) patients with normal serum procalcitonin levels (< 0.1 mg/dl) no mortality was noted. This correlates with the studies by Assicot M et al that serum procalcitonin level is raised in the patients with septicemia (Table 4).<sup>11</sup> Out of 60 patients 16 were suffering from pneumonia, 13 septic meningitis, 11 diabetic foot, and 7 septic abortion, 6 complicated UTI, 4 acute pancreatitis and 3 pyothorax. APACHE II score of <25 is indicator of lesser severity of illness.

Patients were divided in two groups, APACHE II score <25 and APACHE II score >25. In first group (n=28). 4 patients died, while 8 patients were found to have raised serum Procalcitonin. It was also noticed that 4 patients who died, serum procalcitonin level was raised. The mortality rate in the group of APACHE II score <25, according to APACHE II score is 14.28% (4 out of 28 patients) and mortality rate of raised serum procalcitonin is 50% (4 out of 8 patients). In APACHE II score >25 group, 32 patients were included. Among them 30 showed raised serum Procalcitonin. It was also noticed that 12 patients who died, serum procalcitonin level was found to be raised. Thus the mortality rate in the group of APACHE II score >25, according to APACHE II score is 37.5% (12 out of 32 patients) and mortality rate of raised serum Procalcitonin is 40% (12 out of 30 patients). In present study procalcitonin was raised in 38 (63.33%) patients. According to Corsino Ray et al, Procalcitonin was found to be raised in 92.6%, other studies have also reported rise of procalcitonin in 80-90% cases of sepsis.<sup>12</sup> In present study those patients who had APACHE II score >25, Procalcitonin was raised in 93.8% which is



comparable to previous studies. It seems that in previous studies, less severe patients (APACHE II score <25) of sepsis or various etiologies of sepsis were not taken for the study. In present study all (100%) the patients who had APACHE II score >25 and sepsis was due to septic meningitis or complicated UTI or septic abortion, had 100% raised serum procalcitonin (Table 5). Muller B et al, Assicot M et al, Simon L et al, and Becker KL et al also found the same type of results.<sup>9,11,13,14</sup>

We found significant difference in mortality in patients with raised serum procalcitonin versus normal serum procalcitonin level. Various clinical and laboratory parameters like Hb, TLC, DLC, serum creatinine, blood urea, SGPT which have conventionally been used in the definition of sepsis and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome. We compared the level of these parameters amongst patients with serum procalcitonin <0.1mg/L and patients with serum procalcitonin level >0.1mg/L. The difference between two groups was calculated by t-test and was not found significant. It was noted that higher level of serum procalcitonin predicts mortality. Although serum procalcitonin is not very sensitive in diagnosing sepsis, it is better marker to predict mortality more accurately.

## CONCLUSION

Procalcitonin is a useful marker for severity of infection. High procalcitonin level is highly specific for infection. Low procalcitonin level cannot be used safely to exclude the presence of infection. Higher level of serum procalcitonin predicts mortality better than other parameters available.

Thus, from present study we can conclude that although sepsis is mainly a clinical diagnosis and its severity can be assessed by scores like APACHE II, but serum procalcitonin is a good marker for the assessing severity of the sepsis. Serum procalcitonin can aid in early diagnosis as it appears in blood earlier than other markers. The only problem with serum procalcitonin is its cost and lack of easy availability.

*Funding: No funding sources*

*Conflict of interest: None declared*

*Ethical approval: The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee*

## REFERENCES

1. Carcillo JA, Cunnion RE. Septic shock. Crit Care Clin. 1997;13(3):553-74.
2. Volante E, Moretti S, Pisani F, Bevilacqua G. Early diagnosis of bacterial infection in the neonate. J Matern Fet Neonat Med. 2004;16(2):13-6.
3. Carlet J. Rapid diagnostic methods in the detection of sepsis. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 1999;13:483-94.
4. Moscovitz H, Shofer F, Mignott H, Berhrman A. Plasma cytokine determinations in emergency departments as a predictor of bacteraemia and infectious disease severity. Crit Care Med. 1994;22(7):1120-7.
5. Terregnio CA, Lopez BL, Karras DJ. Endogenous mediators in emergency department patients with sepsis: Are levels associated with progression to severe sepsis and death? Ann Emerg Med. 2000;35:26-34.
6. Matson A, Soni N, Sheldon J. C- reactive protein as a diagnostic test of sepsis in critically ill patients. Anaesth Intens Care. 1991;19:182-6.
7. Poova P, Almeida E, Moreira P, Fernandes A. C-reactive protein as an indicator of sepsis. Intens care med. 1998;24:1052-6.
8. Ugerte H, Silva E, Mercan D, De Mendonca A, Vincent JL. Procalcitonin used as a marker of infection in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 1999;27:498-504.
9. Muller B, Becker KL, Schachinger H, Rickenbacher PR. Calcitonin precursors are reliable marker of sepsis in a medical intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 2000;28:977-83.
10. De Werra I, Jaccard C, Corradin SB, Chioleo R. Procalcitonin as a diagnostic tool in lower respiratory tract infections and tuberculosis. Eur Respir J. 2003;21:939-43.
11. Assicot M, Nylen ES, Jordan MH. High serum procalcitonin concentrations in patients with sepsis and infection. Lancet. 1993;347:518-9.
12. Rey C, Arcos ML, Coneha A, Mendina A. Procalcitonin and C-reactive protein as markers of systemic inflammatory response syndrome severity in critically ill children. Intens Care Med. 2007;33(3):477-84.
13. Simon L, Gauvin F, Amre DK, Sint-Louis P. Serum procalcitonin and C-reactive protein levels as markers of bacterial infection. A systemic review and meta- analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39:206-7.
14. Becker KL, Snider R, Nylen ES. Procalcitonin assay in systemic inflammation, infection, and sepsis: clinical utility and limitations. Crit Care Med. 2008;36:941-52.
15. Kibe S, Adams K. Diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of sepsis in critical care, J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66(2):ii33-ii40.

**Cite this article as:** Khan AA, Singh R, Singh PK. Diagnostic and prognostic significance of procalcitonin in septicemia. Int J Adv Med 2017;4:630-4.